COMUNICACIÓN DE OTRA INFORMACIÓN RELEVANTE

TDA 29, FONDO DE TITULIZACIÓN DE ACTIVOS Actuaciones sobre las calificaciones de los bonos por parte de Fitch Ratings.

Titulización de Activos, Sociedad Gestora de Fondos de Titulización, S.A. comunica la siguiente información relevante:

- I. Respecto al fondo de referencia, adjuntamos nota de prensa publicada por Fitch Ratings, con fecha 23 de septiembre de 2021, donde se llevan a cabo las siguientes actuaciones:
 - Clase A2, subida a AAA sf desde A+ sf; perspectiva estable.
 - Clase B, subida a A+ sf desde BBB+ sf; perspectiva estable.
 - Clase C, subida a BB+ sf desde BB- sf; perspectiva estable.
 - Clase D, afirmada como CCC sf.

En Madrid, a 27 de septiembre de 2021

Ramón Pérez Hernández Consejero Delegado



Fitch Upgrades 4 Tranches of 2 TDA Spanish Transactions; Affirms Others

Fitch Ratings - Madrid - 23 Sep 2021: Fitch Ratings has upgraded one tranche of TDA 30, FTA and three tranches of TDA 29, FTA and affirmed one tranche. Fitch has also removed two tranches from Rating Watch Positive (RWP). A full list of rating actions is below.

Rating Actions

ENTITY/DEBT RATING			RECOVERY	PRIOR
TDA 30, FTA				
• Serie A LT ES0377844008	AAAsf ©	Upgrade		AAsf ©
TDA 29, FTA				
• Class A2 LT ES0377931011	AAAsf •	Upgrade		A+sf ●
• Class B LT ES0377931029	A+sf O	Upgrade		BBB+sf ♦
• Class C LT ES0377931037	BB+sf ©	Upgrade		BB-sf ♦

ENTITY/DEBT	RATING	ı	RECOVERY	PRIOR
• Class D L ES037793	T CCCsf 1045	Affirmed		CCCsf

RATINGS KEY OUTLOOK WATCH

Transaction Summary

The transactions comprise fully amortising Spanish residential mortgages originated and serviced by Banco de Sabadell, SA (BBB-/Stable/F3) and Banca March (not rated) for TDA 29, and by Banca March for TDA 30. Credit enhancement (CE) consists of over-collateralisation and cash reserves.

KEY RATING DRIVERS

Performance Outlook, Removal of Additional Stresses: The rating actions reflect the broadly stable asset performance outlook. This is driven by the low share of loans in arrears over 90 days (ranging between 0.4% and 0.6% of the collateral balance) and the improved macro-economic outlook for Spain, as described in Fitch's latest Global Economic Outlook dated September 2021.

The rating analysis reflects the removal of the additional stresses in relation to the coronavirus outbreak and legal developments in Catalonia as announced on 22 July 2021 (see "Fitch Retires EMEA RMBS Coronavirus Additional Stress Scenario Analysis, Except UK Non-Conforming", "Fitch Retires Additional Stress Scenario Analysis for Spanish RMBS Linked to Catalonia Decree Law", and "Correction: Fitch Places or Maintains 121 EMEA RMBS Ratings on RWP on Additional Stress Scenario Retirement" at www.fitchratings.com).

Payment Interruption Risk Cap in TDA 29 Removed: Fitch considers payment interruption risk (PIR) in TDA 29 to be mitigated in the event of a servicer disruption event. We deem the available cash reserve that can be depleted by losses sufficient to cover stressed senior fees, net swap payments and senior note interest due amounts while an alternative servicer arrangement was implemented.

The reserve fund has remained fully funded since 2016, demonstrating consistent coverage of PIR exposure. Fitch expects the reserve to remain sufficiently funded in the medium term, based on the transaction's current performance and expected economic stability. As a result, Fitch has removed the 'A+sf' cap on the notes' rating, in line with its Structured Finance & Covered Bonds Counterparty Rating

Criteria.

Increased Credit Enhancement: The affirmations and upgrades reflect Fitch's view that the notes are sufficiently protected by credit enhancement (CE) to absorb the projected losses commensurate with prevailing and higher rating scenarios. We expect CE ratios for both transactions to remain broadly stable due to the prevailing pro-rata amortisation of the notes, which we expect to continue as the current portfolio balances range between 22.4% and 30.9% of their initial amounts. This means the mandatory switch to sequential, applied when the portfolio reaches 10% of the initial balance, is not imminent.

Fitch's analysis of TDA 30 is subject to a portfolio loss floor and the TDA 29 analysis is subject to a performance adjustment factor floor of 100%, which reflects the repurchase of some defaulted loans in the past by the originator as per Fitch's European RMBS Rating Criteria.

TDA 30 Swap Counterparty Triggers Breached: Fitch has not given credit to the interest rate swap arrangement in TDA 30, as the ratings of the hedge provider (Banco Santander S.A.; A-/Negative/F2) are not in line with the contractually defined applicable minimum eligibility triggers of 'A' and 'F1', and transaction parties have confirmed no restructuring or remedial actions will be implemented. The swap is a total return swap that guarantees an excess margin of 55bp. Its exclusion leaves the transaction exposed to excess spread reduction.

ESG Considerations - Governance:TDA 30 has an Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) Relevance Score of '5' for Transaction Parties & Operational Risk as the hedge provider is not in line with the contractually defined minimum eligibility triggers and transaction parties have confirmed no restructuring or remedial actions will be implemented, which has a negative impact on the credit profile and is highly relevant to the rating.

RATING SENSITIVITIES

Factors that could, individually or collectively, lead to negative rating action/downgrade:

Long-term asset performance deterioration such as increased delinquencies or larger defaults, which could be driven by changes to macroeconomic conditions, interest rate increases or borrower behaviour.

Fitch conducts sensitivity analyses by stressing both a transaction's base-case foreclosure frequency (FF) and recovery rate (RR) assumptions, and examining the rating implications on all classes of issued notes. A 15% increase in the weighted average (WA) FF and a 15% decrease in the WARR could result in downgrades of up to five notches.

Factors that could, individually or collectively, lead to positive rating action/upgrade:

CE ratios increase as the transactions deleverage, able to fully compensate the credit losses and cash flow stresses commensurate with higher rating scenarios. A decrease in the WAFF of 15% and an increase in the WARR of 15% could imply upgrades of up to six notches.

Best/Worst Case Rating Scenario

International scale credit ratings of Structured Finance transactions have a best-case rating upgrade scenario (defined as the 99th percentile of rating transitions, measured in a positive direction) of seven notches over a three-year rating horizon; and a worst-case rating downgrade scenario (defined as the 99th percentile of rating transitions, measured in a negative direction) of seven notches over three years. The complete span of best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings for all rating categories ranges from 'AAAsf' to 'Dsf'. Best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings are based on historical performance. For more information about the methodology used to determine sector-specific best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings, visit https://www.fitchratings.com/site/re/10111579.

USE OF THIRD PARTY DUE DILIGENCE PURSUANT TO SEC RULE 17G -10

Form ABS Due Diligence-15E was not provided to, or reviewed by, Fitch in relation to this rating action.

DATA ADEQUACY

Fitch has checked the consistency and plausibility of the information it has received about the performance of the asset pools and the transactions. Fitch has not reviewed the results of any third party assessment of the asset portfolio information or conducted a review of origination files as part of its ongoing monitoring.

Fitch did not undertake a review of the information provided about the underlying asset pools ahead of the transaction's initial closing. The subsequent performance of the transactions over the years is consistent with the agency's expectations given the operating environment and Fitch is therefore satisfied that the asset pool information relied upon for its initial rating analysis was adequately reliable.

Overall, Fitch's assessment of the information relied upon for the agency's rating analysis according to its applicable rating methodologies indicates that it is adequately reliable.

REFERENCES FOR SUBSTANTIALLY MATERIAL SOURCE CITED AS KEY DRIVER OF RATING

The principal sources of information used in the analysis are described in the Applicable Criteria.

ESG Considerations

TDA 30, FTA has an ESG Relevance Score of '5' for Transaction Parties & Operational Risk due to Transaction Parties & Operational Risk as the hedge provider is not in line with the contractually defined minimum eligibility triggers and transaction parties have confirmed no restructuring or remedial actions will be implemented, which has a negative impact on the credit profile, and is highly relevant to the rating.

Unless otherwise disclosed in this section, the highest level of ESG credit relevance is a score of '3'. This means ESG issues are credit-neutral or have only a minimal credit impact on the entity, either due to their nature or the way in which they are being managed by the entity. For more information on Fitch's

ESG Relevance Scores, visit www.fitchratings.com/esg

Fitch Ratings Analysts

Ricardo Garcia Carmona

Director Surveillance Rating Analyst +34 91 702 5772

Fitch Ratings Spain - Madrid Paseo de la Castellana 31 9°B Madrid 28046

Duncan Paxman

Senior Director Committee Chairperson +44 20 3530 1428

Media Contacts

Athos Larkou

London +44 20 3530 1549 athos.larkou@thefitchgroup.com

Applicable Criteria

European RMBS Rating Criteria (pub.15 Sep 2021) (including rating assumption sensitivity)

Global Structured Finance Rating Criteria (pub.24 Mar 2021) (including rating assumption sensitivity)

Structured Finance and Covered Bonds Counterparty Rating Criteria (pub.29 Jan 2020)

Structured Finance and Covered Bonds Counterparty Rating Criteria: Derivative Addendum (pub.29 Jan 2020)

Structured Finance and Covered Bonds Country Risk Rating Criteria (pub.23 Sep 2020)

Structured Finance and Covered Bonds Interest Rate Stresses Rating Criteria (pub.20 Sep 2021)

Applicable Models

Numbers in parentheses accompanying applicable model(s) contain hyperlinks to criteria providing description of model(s).

Multi-Asset Cash Flow Model, v2.11.0 (1)

ResiGlobal Model: Europe, v1.7.1 (1)

Additional Disclosures

Solicitation Status

Endorsement Status

TDA 29, FTA EU Issued, UK Endorsed

TDA 30, FTA EU Issued, UK Endorsed

Disclaimer

ALL FITCH CREDIT RATINGS ARE SUBJECT TO CERTAIN LIMITATIONS AND DISCLAIMERS. PLEASE READ THESE LIMITATIONS AND DISCLAIMERS BY FOLLOWING THIS LINK:

HTTPS://www.fitchratings.com/understandingcreditratings. In addition, the following https://www.fitchratings.com/rating-definitions-document details fitch's rating definitions for each rating scale and rating categories, including definitions relating to default. Published ratings, criteria, and methodologies are available from this site at all times. Fitch's code of conduct, confidentiality, conflicts of interest, affiliate firewall, compliance, and other relevant policies and procedures are also available from the code of conduct section of this site. Directors and shareholders relevant interests are available at https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory. Fitch may have provided another permissible service or ancillary service to the rated entity or its related third parties. Details of permissible service(s) for which the lead analyst is based in an esma- or fca-registered fitch ratings company (or branch of such a company) or ancillary service(s) can be found on the entity summary page for this issuer on the fitch ratings website.

Copyright

Copyright © 2021 by Fitch Ratings, Inc., Fitch Ratings Ltd. and its subsidiaries. 33 Whitehall Street, NY, NY 10004. Telephone: 1-800-753-4824, (212) 908-0500. Fax: (212) 480-4435. Reproduction or retransmission in whole or in part is prohibited except by permission. All rights reserved. In issuing and

maintaining its ratings and in making other reports (including forecast information), Fitch relies on factual information it receives from issuers and underwriters and from other sources Fitch believes to be credible. Fitch conducts a reasonable investigation of the factual information relied upon by it in accordance with its ratings methodology, and obtains reasonable verification of that information from independent sources, to the extent such sources are available for a given security or in a given jurisdiction. The manner of Fitch's factual investigation and the scope of the third-party verification it obtains will vary depending on the nature of the rated security and its issuer, the requirements and practices in the jurisdiction in which the rated security is offered and sold and/or the issuer is located, the availability and nature of relevant public information, access to the management of the issuer and its advisers, the availability of pre-existing third-party verifications such as audit reports, agreed-upon procedures letters, appraisals, actuarial reports, engineering reports, legal opinions and other reports provided by third parties, the availability of independent and competent third- party verification sources with respect to the particular security or in the particular jurisdiction of the issuer, and a variety of other factors. Users of Fitch's ratings and reports should understand that neither an enhanced factual investigation nor any third-party verification can ensure that all of the information Fitch relies on in connection with a rating or a report will be accurate and complete. Ultimately, the issuer and its advisers are responsible for the accuracy of the information they provide to Fitch and to the market in offering documents and other reports. In issuing its ratings and its reports, Fitch must rely on the work of experts, including independent auditors with respect to financial statements and attorneys with respect to legal and tax matters. Further, ratings and forecasts of financial and other information are inherently forward-looking and embody assumptions and predictions about future events that by their nature cannot be verified as facts. As a result, despite any verification of current facts, ratings and forecasts can be affected by future events or conditions that were not anticipated at the time a rating or forecast was issued or affirmed.

The information in this report is provided "as is" without any representation or warranty of any kind, and Fitch does not represent or warrant that the report or any of its contents will meet any of the requirements of a recipient of the report. A Fitch rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a security. This opinion and reports made by Fitch are based on established criteria and methodologies that Fitch is continuously evaluating and updating. Therefore, ratings and reports are the collective work product of Fitch and no individual, or group of individuals, is solely responsible for a rating or a report. The rating does not address the risk of loss due to risks other than credit risk, unless such risk is specifically mentioned. Fitch is not engaged in the offer or sale of any security. All Fitch reports have shared authorship. Individuals identified in a Fitch report were involved in, but are not solely responsible for, the opinions stated therein. The individuals are named for contact purposes only. A report providing a Fitch rating is neither a prospectus nor a substitute for the information assembled, verified and presented to investors by the issuer and its agents in connection with the sale of the securities. Ratings may be changed or withdrawn at any time for any reason in the sole discretion of Fitch. Fitch does not provide investment advice of any sort. Ratings are not a recommendation to buy, sell, or hold any security. Ratings do not comment on the adequacy of market price, the suitability of any security for a particular investor, or the tax-exempt nature or taxability of payments made in respect to any security. Fitch receives fees from issuers, insurers, guarantors, other obligors, and underwriters for rating securities. Such fees generally vary from US\$1,000 to US\$750,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent) per issue. In certain cases, Fitch will rate all or a number of issues

issued by a particular issuer, or insured or guaranteed by a particular insurer or guarantor, for a single annual fee. Such fees are expected to vary from US\$10,000 to US\$1,500,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent). The assignment, publication, or dissemination of a rating by Fitch shall not constitute a consent by Fitch to use its name as an expert in connection with any registration statement filed under the United States securities laws, the Financial Services and Markets Act of 2000 of the United Kingdom, or the securities laws of any particular jurisdiction. Due to the relative efficiency of electronic publishing and distribution, Fitch research may be available to electronic subscribers up to three days earlier than to print subscribers.

For Australia, New Zealand, Taiwan and South Korea only: Fitch Australia Pty Ltd holds an Australian financial services license (AFS license no. 337123) which authorizes it to provide credit ratings to wholesale clients only. Credit ratings information published by Fitch is not intended to be used by persons who are retail clients within the meaning of the Corporations Act 2001
Fitch Ratings, Inc. is registered with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission as a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization (the "NRSRO"). While certain of the NRSRO's credit rating subsidiaries are listed on Item 3 of Form NRSRO and as such are authorized to issue credit ratings on behalf of the NRSRO (see https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory), other credit rating subsidiaries are not listed on Form NRSRO (the "non-NRSROs") and therefore credit ratings issued by those subsidiaries are not issued on behalf of the NRSRO. However, non-NRSRO personnel may participate in determining credit ratings issued by or on behalf of the NRSRO.

Endorsement policy

Fitch's international credit ratings produced outside the EU or the UK, as the case may be, are endorsed for use by regulated entities within the EU or the UK, respectively, for regulatory purposes, pursuant to the terms of the EU CRA Regulation or the UK Credit Rating Agencies (Amendment etc.) (EU Exit) Regulations 2019, as the case may be. Fitch's approach to endorsement in the EU and the UK can be found on Fitch's Regulatory Affairs page on Fitch's website. The endorsement status of international credit ratings is provided within the entity summary page for each rated entity and in the transaction detail pages for structured finance transactions on the Fitch website. These disclosures are updated on a daily basis.