

# Otra Información Relevante de

# BANCAJA 9, Fondo de Titulización de Activos

En virtud de lo establecido en el Folleto Informativo de **BANCAJA 9, Fondo de Titulización de Activos** (el "**Fondo**") se comunica a la COMISIÓN NACIONAL DEL MERCADO DE VALORES la presente información relevante:

- La Agencia de Calificación **Fitch Ratings** ("**Fitch**"), con fecha 9 de octubre de 2020, comunica que ha confirmado las calificaciones asignadas a las siguientes Series de Bonos emitidos por el Fondo:
  - Serie A2: A+sf, perspectiva estable
  - Serie B: A+sf, perspectiva estable
  - Serie C: BBB+sf, perspectiva estable
  - Serie D: B+sf, perspectiva negativa
  - Serie E: CCsf

Se adjunta la comunicación emitida por Fitch.

Madrid, 23 de octubre de 2020.

# Fitch Downgrades One Tranche of Two Bancaja RMBS Series; Affirms Eight Others

Fitch Ratings-Madrid/London-09 October 2020:

Fitch Ratings has downgraded one tranche (Bancaja 8 class D notes to 'B+sf' from 'BBsf') and affirmed eight tranches of two Spanish RMBS transactions. The Outlooks are Stable for all tranches except for Bancaja 9 class D that has a Negative Outlook.

A full list of rating actions is below.

# Bancaja 8, FTA

- ----Class A ES0312887005; Long Term Rating; Affirmed; AAAsf; Rating Outlook Stable
- ----Class B ES0312887013; Long Term Rating; Affirmed; AAsf; Rating Outlook Stable
- ----Class C ES0312887021; Long Term Rating; Affirmed; A+sf; Rating Outlook Stable
- ----Class D ES0312887039; Long Term Rating; Downgrade; B+sf; Rating Outlook Stable Bancaja 9, FTA
- ----Series A2 ES0312888011; Long Term Rating; Affirmed; A+sf; Rating Outlook Stable
- ----Series B ES0312888029; Long Term Rating; Affirmed; A+sf; Rating Outlook Stable
- ----Series C ES0312888037; Long Term Rating; Affirmed; BBB+sf; Rating Outlook Stable
- ----Series D ES0312888045; Long Term Rating; Affirmed; B+sf; Rating Outlook Negative
- ----Series E ES0312888052; Long Term Rating; Affirmed; CCsf

# Transaction Summary

The transactions comprise fully amortising Spanish residential mortgages serviced by Bankia S.A. (BBB/Rating Watch Positive/F2).

## **KEY RATING DRIVERS**

## COVID-19 and Catalonia Additional Stresses

In its analysis of the transactions, Fitch has applied additional stresses in conjunction with its European RMBS Rating Criteria in response to the coronavirus outbreak and the recent legislative

developments in Catalonia. Fitch anticipates a generalised weakening of the Spanish borrowers' ability to keep up with mortgage payments linked to a spike in unemployment and vulnerability for self-employed borrowers. Performance indicators such as the level of arrears could increase in the following months and, therefore, Fitch has also incorporated a 10% increase to the weighted average foreclosure frequency (WAFF) of the portfolios. See: "EMEA RMBS: Criteria Assumptions Updated due to Impact of the Coronavirus Pandemic" and "Spain RMBS: Criteria Assumptions Updated Due to Decree Law in Catalonia" at www.fitchratings.com.

As outlined in "Fitch Ratings Coronavirus Scenarios: Baseline and Downside Cases -- Update", we also consider a downside coronavirus scenario for sensitivity purposes whereby a more severe and prolonged period of stress is assumed. Under this scenario, Fitch's analysis accommodates a further increase to the portfolio WAFF and a decrease to the WA recovery rates (RR).

## Credit Enhancement Trends

While Fitch expects credit enhancement (CE) ratios to continue increasing in the short term due to the prevailing sequential amortisation of both transactions, CE ratios could reduce for most tranches if the pro-rata amortisation of the notes is activated using a reverse sequential pay mechanism until tranche thickness targets are met. For example, Bancaja 8 class A notes' current CE of 46.3% could fall to about 18.4% if the pro-rata amortisation applies.

The affirmation of most notes' ratings reflects our view that CE is sufficient to mitigate the immediate risks associated with our base-case coronavirus scenario. On the other hand, the downgrade of Bancaja 8 class D notes' ratings reflects insufficient CE to compensate for the larger projected losses under our base-case coronavirus scenario. The Negative Outlook on Bancaja 9 class D reflects the rating's vulnerability over the longer term driven by performance volatility if the economic outlook deteriorates as a consequence of a more severe coronavirus crisis. The sensitivity of the ratings to scenarios more severe than currently expected is provided in Rating Sensitivities below.

# Bancaja 9 Capped on Payment Interruption Risk

Fitch views Bancaja 9 as being exposed to payment interruption risk in the event of a servicer disruption as the available liquidity sources (eg reserve fund) are considered insufficient to cover senior fees, net swap payments and senior notes' interest during a minimum period of three months needed to implement alternative servicing arrangements. The notes' maximum achievable ratings are commensurate with the 'Asf' category, in line with Fitch's Structured Finance and Covered Bonds Counterparty Rating Criteria.

Fitch does not expect the COVID-19 emergency support measures introduced by the Spanish

government and banks for borrowers in vulnerability to negatively affect the special-purpose vehicles' liquidity positions, given the low take-up rate of payment holidays in the transactions estimated to be under the 9% national average as of June 2020.

## Portfolio Risky Attributes

The securitised portfolios are exposed to geographical concentration mainly in the region of Valencia. In line with Fitch's European RMBS rating criteria, higher rating multiples are applied to the base FF assumption to the portion of the portfolios that exceed two and a half times the population share of this region relative to the national count. Additionally, about 50% of these portfolios is linked to loans originated via brokers, which are considered riskier than branch-originated loans and are subject to a FF adjustment factor of 150%.

The portfolios have significant seasoning of about 15 years. Three-month plus arrears (excluding defaults) as a percentage of the current pool balance remain below 2% for both transactions as of the latest reporting date, while cumulative gross defaults relative to portfolio initial balances range between 4.3% (Bancaja 8) and 7.9% (Bancaja 9).

Bancaja 9 has an Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) Relevance Score of '5' for Transaction & Collateral Structure due to unmitigated payment interruption risk, which has a negative impact on the credit profile, and is highly relevant to the rating, resulting in a downward adjustment to the ratings by at least one notch.

### RATING SENSITIVITIES

Factors that could, individually or collectively, lead to a positive rating action/upgrade:

- Increases in CE ratios as the transactions deleverage to fully compensate the credit losses and cash-flow stresses that are commensurate with higher rating scenarios, all else being equal
- For Bancaja 9 class A and B notes, improved liquidity protection against a servicer disruption event. This is because the ratings are currently capped at 'A+sf' on an unmitigated payment interruption risk

Factors that could, individually or collectively, lead to a negative rating action/downgrade:

- A longer-than-expected coronavirus crisis that weakens macroeconomic fundamentals and the mortgage market in Spain beyond Fitch's current base case. CE ratios cannot fully compensate the

credit losses and cash-flow stresses associated with the current ratings scenarios, all else being equal. To approximate this scenario, a rating sensitivity has been conducted by increasing default rates by 15% and reducing recovery expectations by 15%, which would imply downgrades of more than one notch for some of the notes

- A downgrade to Spain's Long-Term Issuer Default Rating (IDR) that could lower the maximum achievable rating for Spanish structured finance transactions. This is because class A notes on Bancaja 8 are capped at the 'AAAsf' maximum achievable rating in Spain - six notches above the sovereign IDR

# Best/Worst Case Rating Scenario

International scale credit ratings of Structured Finance transactions have a best-case rating upgrade scenario (defined as the 99th percentile of rating transitions, measured in a positive direction) of seven notches over a three-year rating horizon; and a worst-case rating downgrade scenario (defined as the 99th percentile of rating transitions, measured in a negative direction) of seven notches over three years. The complete span of best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings for all rating categories ranges from 'AAAsf' to 'Dsf'. Best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings are based on historical performance. For more information about the methodology used to determine sector-specific best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings, visit https://www.fitchratings.com/site/re/10111579.

### CRITERIA VARIATION

RR Haircut: For Bancaja 9, Fitch has applied a 15% haircut to the ResiGlobal model-estimated RR across all rating scenarios considering the materially lower transaction recoveries on cumulative defaults observed to date (about 61%) versus un-adjusted model expectations. This constitutes a variation from our European RMBS Rating Criteria with a maximum model-implied rating impact of minus two notches.

## USE OF THIRD PARTY DUE DILIGENCE PURSUANT TO SEC RULE 17G -10

Form ABS Due Diligence-15E was not provided to, or reviewed by, Fitch in relation to this rating action.

## DATA ADEQUACY

Fitch has checked the consistency and plausibility of the information it has received about the performance of the asset pools and the transactions. Fitch has not reviewed the results of any third- party assessment of the asset portfolio information or conducted a review of origination files as part of its ongoing monitoring. Fitch did not undertake a review of the information provided about the underlying asset pools ahead of the transactions' initial closing. The subsequent performance of the transactions over the years is consistent with the agency's expectations given the operating environment and Fitch is, therefore, satisfied that the asset pool information relied upon for its initial rating analysis was adequately reliable. Overall, Fitch's assessment of the information relied upon for the agency's rating analysis according to its applicable rating methodologies indicates that it is adequately reliable.

REFERENCES FOR SUBSTANTIALLY MATERIAL SOURCE CITED AS KEY DRIVER OF RATING The principal sources of information used in the analysis are described in the Applicable Criteria.

**ESG** Considerations

Bancaja 9, FTA: Transaction & Collateral Structure: '5'

Unless otherwise disclosed in this section, the highest level of ESG credit relevance is a score of '3'. This means ESG issues are credit-neutral or have only a minimal credit impact on the entity, either due to their nature or the way in which they are being managed by the entity. For more information on Fitch's ESG Relevance Scores, visit www.fitchratings.com/esg

Additional information is available on www.fitchratings.com

Contacts:

Surveillance Rating Analyst
Marcos Meier,
Analyst
+34 91 702 5776
Fitch Ratings Spain - Madrid
Paseo de la Castellana 31 9°B
Madrid 28046

Committee Chairperson Juan David Garcia, Senior Director +34 91 702 5774

Media Relations: Athos Larkou, London, Tel: +44 20 3530 1549, Email:

athos.larkou@thefitchgroup.com

Pilar Perez, Barcelona, Tel: +34 93 323 8414, Email: pilar.perez@fitchratings.com

Additional information is available on www.fitchratings.com

# **Applicable Criteria**

European RMBS Rating Criteria (pub. 22 May 2020) (including rating assumption sensitivity)

Fitch Ratings Interest Rate Stress Assumptions for Structured Finance and Covered Bonds (Excel)
(pub. 06 Dec 2019)

Global Structured Finance Rating Criteria (pub. 17 Jun 2020) (including rating assumption sensitivity)

Structured Finance and Covered Bonds Counterparty Rating Criteria (pub. 29 Jan 2020)

Structured Finance and Covered Bonds Counterparty Rating Criteria: Derivative Addendum (pub. 29 Jan 2020)

Structured Finance and Covered Bonds Country Risk Rating Criteria (pub. 23 Sep 2020)

Structured Finance and Covered Bonds Interest Rate Stresses Rating Criteria (pub. 06 Dec 2019)

## **Applicable Model**

Numbers in parentheses accompanying applicable model(s) contain hyperlinks to criteria providing description of model(s).

Multi-Asset Cash Flow Model, v2.8.0 (1)

ResiGlobal Model: Europe, v1.6.4 (1)

#### **Additional Disclosures**

<u>Dodd-Frank Rating Information Disclosure Form</u>

**Solicitation Status** 

**Endorsement Status** 

**Endorsement Policy** 

ALL FITCH CREDIT RATINGS ARE SUBJECT TO CERTAIN LIMITATIONS AND DISCLAIMERS. PLEASE READ THESE LIMITATIONS AND DISCLAIMERS BY FOLLOWING THIS LINK:

HTTPS://WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM/UNDERSTANDINGCREDITRATINGS. IN ADDITION, THE FOLLOWING HTTPS://WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM/RATING-DEFINITIONS-DOCUMENT DETAILS FITCH'S RATING DEFINITIONS FOR EACH RATING SCALE AND RATING CATEGORIES, INCLUDING

DEFINITIONS RELATING TO DEFAULT. PUBLISHED RATINGS, CRITERIA, AND METHODOLOGIES ARE AVAILABLE FROM THIS SITE AT ALL TIMES. FITCH'S CODE OF CONDUCT, CONFIDENTIALITY, CONFLICTS OF INTEREST, AFFILIATE FIREWALL, COMPLIANCE, AND OTHER RELEVANT POLICIES AND PROCEDURES ARE ALSO AVAILABLE FROM THE CODE OF CONDUCT SECTION OF THIS SITE. DIRECTORS AND SHAREHOLDERS RELEVANT INTERESTS ARE AVAILABLE AT <a href="https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory">https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory</a>. FITCH MAY HAVE PROVIDED ANOTHER PERMISSIBLE SERVICE TO THE RATED ENTITY OR ITS RELATED THIRD PARTIES. DETAILS OF THIS SERVICE FOR RATINGS FOR WHICH THE LEAD ANALYST IS BASED IN AN EU-REGISTERED ENTITY CAN BE FOUND ON THE ENTITY SUMMARY PAGE FOR THIS ISSUER ON THE FITCH RATINGS WEBSITE.

Copyright © 2020 by Fitch Ratings, Inc., Fitch Ratings Ltd. and its subsidiaries. 33 Whitehall Street, NY, NY 10004. Telephone: 1-800-753-4824, (212) 908-0500. Fax: (212) 480-4435. Reproduction or retransmission in whole or in part is prohibited except by permission. All rights reserved. In issuing and maintaining its ratings and in making other reports (including forecast information), Fitch relies on factual information it receives from issuers and underwriters and from other sources Fitch believes to be credible. Fitch conducts a reasonable investigation of the factual information relied upon by it in accordance with its ratings methodology, and obtains reasonable verification of that information from independent sources, to the extent such sources are available for a given security or in a given jurisdiction. The manner of Fitch's factual investigation and the scope of the third-party verification it obtains will vary depending on the nature of the rated security and its issuer, the requirements and practices in the jurisdiction in which the rated security is offered and sold and/or the issuer is located, the availability and nature of relevant public information, access to the management of the issuer and its advisers, the availability of pre-existing third-party verifications such as audit reports, agreed-upon procedures letters, appraisals, actuarial reports, engineering reports, legal opinions and other reports provided by third parties, the availability of independent and competent third- party verification sources with respect to the particular security or in the particular jurisdiction of the issuer, and a variety of other factors. Users of Fitch's ratings and reports should understand that neither an enhanced factual investigation nor any third-party verification can ensure that all of the information Fitch relies on in connection with a rating or a report will be accurate and complete. Ultimately, the issuer and its advisers are responsible for the accuracy of the information they provide to Fitch and to the market in offering documents and other reports. In issuing its ratings and its reports, Fitch must rely on the work of experts, including independent auditors with respect to financial statements and attorneys with respect to legal and tax matters. Further, ratings and forecasts of financial and other information are inherently forward-looking and embody assumptions and predictions about future events that by their nature cannot be verified as facts. As a result, despite any verification of current facts, ratings and forecasts can be affected by future events or conditions that were not anticipated at the time a

rating or forecast was issued or affirmed.

The information in this report is provided "as is" without any representation or warranty of any kind, and Fitch does not represent or warrant that the report or any of its contents will meet any of the requirements of a recipient of the report. A Fitch rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a security. This opinion and reports made by Fitch are based on established criteria and methodologies that Fitch is continuously evaluating and updating. Therefore, ratings and reports are the collective work product of Fitch and no individual, or group of individuals, is solely responsible for a rating or a report. The rating does not address the risk of loss due to risks other than credit risk, unless such risk is specifically mentioned. Fitch is not engaged in the offer or sale of any security. All Fitch reports have shared authorship. Individuals identified in a Fitch report were involved in, but are not solely responsible for, the opinions stated therein. The individuals are named for contact purposes only. A report providing a Fitch rating is neither a prospectus nor a substitute for the information assembled, verified and presented to investors by the issuer and its agents in connection with the sale of the securities. Ratings may be changed or withdrawn at any time for any reason in the sole discretion of Fitch. Fitch does not provide investment advice of any sort. Ratings are not a recommendation to buy, sell, or hold any security. Ratings do not comment on the adequacy of market price, the suitability of any security for a particular investor, or the tax-exempt nature or taxability of payments made in respect to any security. Fitch receives fees from issuers, insurers, guarantors, other obligors, and underwriters for rating securities. Such fees generally vary from US\$1,000 to US\$750,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent) per issue. In certain cases, Fitch will rate all or a number of issues issued by a particular issuer, or insured or guaranteed by a particular insurer or guarantor, for a single annual fee. Such fees are expected to vary from US\$10,000 to US\$1,500,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent). The assignment, publication, or dissemination of a rating by Fitch shall not constitute a consent by Fitch to use its name as an expert in connection with any registration statement filed under the United States securities laws, the Financial Services and Markets Act of 2000 of the United Kingdom, or the securities laws of any particular jurisdiction. Due to the relative efficiency of electronic publishing and distribution, Fitch research may be available to electronic subscribers up to three days earlier than to print subscribers.

For Australia, New Zealand, Taiwan and South Korea only: Fitch Australia Pty Ltd holds an Australian financial services license (AFS license no. 337123) which authorizes it to provide credit ratings to wholesale clients only. Credit ratings information published by Fitch is not intended to be used by persons who are retail clients within the meaning of the Corporations Act 2001 Fitch Ratings, Inc. is registered with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission as a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization (the "NRSRO"). While certain of the NRSRO's credit rating subsidiaries are listed on Item 3 of Form NRSRO and as such are authorized to issue credit ratings on behalf of the NRSRO (see <a href="https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory">https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory</a>), other credit rating subsidiaries are not listed on Form NRSRO (the "non-NRSROS") and therefore credit ratings

issued by those subsidiaries are not issued on behalf of the NRSRO. However, non-NRSRO personnel may participate in determining credit ratings issued by or on behalf of the NRSRO.

**ENDORSEMENT POLICY** - Fitch's approach to ratings endorsement so that ratings produced outside the EU may be used by regulated entities within the EU for regulatory purposes, pursuant to the terms of the EU Regulation with respect to credit rating agencies, can be found on the <u>EU Regulatory Disclosures</u> page. The endorsement status of all International ratings is provided within the entity summary page for each rated entity and in the transaction detail pages for all structured finance transactions on the Fitch website. These disclosures are updated on a daily basis.