

C. N. M. V.
C/ Edison 4
Madrid

COMUNICACIÓN DE OTRA INFORMACIÓN RELEVANTE

TDA CAM 7, FONDO DE TITULIZACIÓN DE ACTIVOS

Actuaciones sobre las calificaciones de los bonos por parte de S&P Global Ratings.

Titulización de Activos, Sociedad Gestora de Fondos de Titulización, S.A. comunica la siguiente información relevante:

I. Respecto al fondo de referencia, adjuntamos nota de prensa publicada por S&P Global Ratings, con fecha 14 de abril de 2025 donde se llevan a cabo las siguientes actuaciones:

- Bono A2, afirmado como **AAA (sf)**.
- Bono A3, afirmado como **AAA (sf)**.
- Bono B, subida a **BB (sf)** desde **CCC (sf)**.

En Madrid, a 15 de abril de 2025

Ramón Pérez Hernández
Consejero Delegado

TDA CAM 7 Class B Spanish RMBS Notes Rating Raised; Class A2 And A3 Ratings Affirmed

April 14, 2025

Overview

- Following our review of TDA CAM 7 under our relevant criteria, we have raised our rating on the class B notes. At the same time, we have affirmed our ratings on the class A2 and A3 notes.
- TDA CAM 7 is a Spanish RMBS transaction that closed in November 2006.

MADRID (S&P Global Ratings) April 14, 2025--S&P Global Ratings today raised to 'BB (sf)' from 'CCC- (sf)' its credit rating on TDA CAM 7, Fondo de Titulizacion de Activos' class B notes. At the same time, we affirmed our 'AAA (sf)' ratings on the class A2 and A3 notes.

Today's rating actions follow our full analysis of the most recent information that we have received and the transactions' current structural features.

After applying our global RMBS criteria, expected losses decreased due to a decline in our weighted-average foreclosure frequency (WAFF) and weighted-average loss severity (WALS) assumptions. Our WAFF assumptions decreased due to the lower effective loan-to-value (LTV) ratio. In addition, our WALS assumptions have decreased, due to a lower current LTV ratio.

PRIMARY CREDIT ANALYST

Agustina Lopreiato
Madrid
+34 914 23 32 24
agustina.lopreiato
@spglobal.com

SECONDARY CONTACT

Arnaud Checconi
London
+ 44 20 7176 3410
ChecconiA
@spglobal.com

RESEARCH CONTRIBUTOR

Vigneeesh Iyer
CRISIL Global Analytical Center, an
S&P affiliate, Mumbai

WAFF and WALS levels

Rating level	WAFF (%)	WALS (%)	CC (%)
AAA	16.07	2.00	0.32
AA	10.98	2.00	0.22
A	8.53	2.00	0.17
BBB	5.99	2.00	0.12
BB	3.44	2.00	0.07
B	2.83	2.00	0.06

WAFF--Weighted-average foreclosure frequency. WALS--Weighted-average loss severity. CC--Credit coverage.

Loan-level arrears currently stand at 1.6%, and are stable after increasing in April 2020. Overall delinquencies remain well below our Spanish RMBS index (see "Related Research"). The transaction has a high number of loans that defaulted during the financial crisis, and a portion of these still need to be worked out. In our model, the WAFF is calculated based on the performing

TDA CAM 7 Class B Spanish RMBS Notes Rating Raised; Class A2 And A3 Ratings Affirmed

pool, while we assumed 50% on the defaulted assets.

The class A notes are repaying pro rata among themselves due to the breach of performance triggers.

The class A2 and A3 notes' credit enhancement has increased to 50.2% from 41.4% since our previous review, with an increase to 5.3% from 4.2% for the class B notes (see "Related Research").

Our operational, sovereign, and legal risk analysis remains unchanged since our previous review, and those rating pillars do not constrain the ratings on the notes. There are no rating caps due to counterparty risk.

The application of our criteria and related credit and cash flow analysis indicates that the available credit enhancement for the class A2 and A3 notes is still commensurate with a 'AAA (sf)' rating. We have therefore affirmed our 'AAA (sf)' ratings on the class A2 and A3 notes.

The class B notes experienced interest shortfalls following their interest deferral trigger breaches. Consequently, interest payments on the class B notes became subordinated in the priority of payments and defaulted between the November 2013 and May 2016 payment dates. Due to recoveries and the negative interest rate environment, interest amounts due on these classes of notes have since fully repaid. Since then, interest payments on these classes of notes have continued, and will continue to be subordinated in the priority of payments until the amortization of the class A notes, but will remain senior to the reserve fund, which has been fully topped up.

Given the transaction's stable performance, with incoming recoveries that have repaid all due amounts on the class B notes in 2016, and a replenishment of the reserve fund, we do not expect these tranches to default again in the short term. Since our previous review, the reserve fund remains at its floor value, providing liquidity for the class A and B notes.

Today's upgrade of the class B notes to 'BB (sf)' follows the implementation of our criteria and assumptions for assessing pools of Spanish residential loans (see "Related Criteria").

Under our cash flow analysis, the class B notes could withstand stresses at a higher rating than that currently assigned. However, we have limited our upgrade based on the position of timely interest payments in the waterfall, which are subordinated to the principal payments of the class A notes until the class B notes become the most senior. Increased defaults may result in reserve fund draws exposing the class B notes to liquidity risks.

We consider the transaction's resilience in case of additional stresses to some key variables, in particular defaults and loss severity, to determine our forward-looking view.

In our view, the ability of the borrowers to repay their mortgage loans will be highly correlated to macroeconomic conditions, particularly the unemployment rate, consumer price inflation and interest rates. Our forecast for unemployment in Spain for 2025 and 2026 is 11.4% and 11.3%, respectively.

Furthermore, a decline in house prices typically affects the level of realized recoveries. For Spain in 2025 and 2026, we expect house prices to increase by 4.5% and 3.5%, respectively.

We ran additional scenarios with increased defaults of 1.1x and 1.3x. Additionally, as a general downturn of the housing market may delay recoveries, we have also run extended recovery timings to understand the transaction's sensitivity to liquidity risk. The results of the above sensitivity analysis indicate no deterioration on the notes compared with the ratings assigned.

TDA CAM 7 is a Spanish RMBS transaction, which closed in November 2006. Caja de Ahorros del Mediterráneo (CAM), now merged with Banco de Sabadell, originated the pool, which comprises

loans granted to borrowers secured over vacation homes and owner-occupied residential properties in CAM's home market of Valencia.

Related Criteria

- Criteria | Structured Finance | RMBS: Global Methodology And Assumptions: Assessing Pools Of Residential Loans--Europe Supplement, April 4, 2024
- General Criteria: Environmental, Social, And Governance Principles In Credit Ratings, Oct. 10, 2021
- Criteria | Structured Finance | General: Global Framework For Payment Structure And Cash Flow Analysis Of Structured Finance Securities, Dec. 22, 2020
- Criteria | Structured Finance | General: Methodology To Derive Stressed Interest Rates In Structured Finance, Oct. 18, 2019
- Criteria | Structured Finance | General: Counterparty Risk Framework: Methodology And Assumptions, March 8, 2019
- Criteria | Structured Finance | General: Incorporating Sovereign Risk In Rating Structured Finance Securities: Methodology And Assumptions, Jan. 30, 2019
- Criteria | Structured Finance | RMBS: Global Methodology And Assumptions: Assessing Pools Of Residential Loans, Jan. 25, 2019
- Legal Criteria: Structured Finance: Asset Isolation And Special-Purpose Entity Methodology, March 29, 2017
- Criteria | Structured Finance | General: Global Framework For Assessing Operational Risk In Structured Finance Transactions, Oct. 9, 2014
- General Criteria: Methodology Applied To Bank Branch-Supported Transactions, Oct. 14, 2013
- Criteria | Structured Finance | General: Global Derivative Agreement Criteria, June 24, 2013
- General Criteria: Principles Of Credit Ratings, Feb. 16, 2011
- Criteria | Structured Finance | General: Methodology For Servicer Risk Assessment, May 28, 2009

Related Research

- European RMBS Index Report Q4 2024, Feb. 20, 2025
- European Housing Markets: Better Housing Affordability Supports Recovery, Jan. 27, 2025
- House Price Overvaluation Moderates For Europe's RMBS And Covered Bond Markets, Jan. 20, 2025
- Credit Conditions Europe Q1 2025: Fusion Or Fission?, Dec. 3, 2024
- S&P Global Ratings Definitions, Dec. 2, 2024
- Economic Outlook Eurozone Q1 2025: Next Year Will Be A Game Changer, Nov. 26, 2024
- Spain, Sept. 16, 2024
- Credit FAQ: How House Price Changes Affect Our EMEA Residential Mortgage Loans Analysis,

TDA CAM 7 Class B Spanish RMBS Notes Rating Raised; Class A2 And A3 Ratings Affirmed

July 12, 2024

- 2017 EMEA RMBS Scenario And Sensitivity Analysis, July 6, 2017
- Global Structured Finance Scenario And Sensitivity Analysis 2016: The Effects Of The Top Five Macroeconomic Factors, Dec. 16, 2016
- European Structured Finance Scenario And Sensitivity Analysis 2016: The Effects Of The Top Five Macroeconomic Factors, Dec. 16, 2016
- New Issue: TDA CAM 7, Fondo de Titulización de Activos, Nov. 21, 2006

Copyright © 2025 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Some of the Content may have been created with the assistance of an artificial intelligence (AI) tool. Published Content created or processed using AI is composed, reviewed, edited, and approved by S&P personnel.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives. Rating-related publications may be published for a variety of reasons that are not necessarily dependent on action by rating committees, including, but not limited to, the publication of a periodic update on a credit rating and related analyses.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw or suspend such acknowledgment at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain non-public information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.spglobal.com/ratings (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.spglobal.com/usratingsfees.

STANDARD & POOR'S, S&P and RATINGSDIRECT are registered trademarks of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC.